IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE G. BIKSHAPATHY

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 22073 of 1994

Between:

Y. Rambabu, s/o Appanna,
Dibbalapalem, Rahabilitation colony,
Gangavaram Post, Visakhapatnam.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 Visakhapatnam Steel Project Rep by Chairman &

Managing director,
Visakhaptanam,
2 Chief Personnel Manager
Visakhaptanam Steel Project, Visakhaptanam.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue any writ, order or direction particularly a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the Respondents to allow the petitioner to complete the training and to provide regular employment, with all consequential and attendant benefits and also reimburse medical bills pending and to pass such other relief or reliefs as this Hon'ble court may deem fit just and necessary in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.PRABHAKAR BOMMAGANI

Counsel for the Respondents : MR.V.RAVINDER RAO

The Court made the following :

-

-

-

-

-

O R D E R:

This Writ Petition is filed seeking direction to respondents to permit the petitioner to complete the training and to provide regular employment with all consequential benefits and also for reimbursement of medical bills.

2. The petitioner is a land lesser in pursuance of the scheme by the Visakapatnam Steel Plant. This case was considered for employment in the Steel Plant. Accordingly, he was taken as Assistant Technician Trainee on 16-02-1988. However, during the course of the training period, it was found that his services were not satisfactory and, therefore, his training was terminated. Aggrieved by the said action, this Writ Petition is filed by the petitioner. While so, this Court passed an interim order dated 27-09-1995 directing the respondents to engage the petitioner on casual basis. In pursuance of the said order, the petitioner was appointed as kalasi on casual basis and his services were also regularized on 11-12-1995.

3. The learned counsel for the respondents further submits that his services were regularized as kalasi on 10-04-1997 and he was also further promoted to S-II Grade in 2001. In view of the changed situation, I do not find any ground to consider the challenge made to the termination of the training period. Thus, I do not find any merits in the Writ Petition.

4. The Writ Petition is accordingly dismissed. No costs.

_____

G.BIKSHAPATHY, J

Dated: 30-09-2004

prv/bsb

To

1 The Chairman & Managing Director,

Visakhapatnam Steel Project,
Visakhaptanam,

2 The Chief Personnel Manager,
Visakhaptanam Steel Project, Visakhaptanam.

3 2CD copies